

CARSON CITY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
Minutes of the April 7, 2005, Meeting
Page 1

A regularly scheduled meeting of the Carson City Board of Supervisors was held on Thursday, April 7, 2005, at the Community Center Sierra Room, 851 East William Street, Carson City, Nevada, beginning at 8:30 a.m.

PRESENT:	Marv Teixeira	Mayor
	Robin Williamson	Supervisor, Ward 1
	Shelly Aldean	Supervisor, Ward 2
	Pete Livermore	Supervisor, Ward 3
	Richard S. Staub	Supervisor, Ward 4

STAFF PRESENT:	Linda Ritter	City Manager
	Ken Furlong	Sheriff
	Al Kramer	Treasurer
	Andrew Burnham	Development Services Director
	Tom Minton	Finance Director
	Melanie Bruketta	Chief Deputy District Attorney
	Juan Guzman	Open Space Manager
	Vern Krahn	Parks Planner
	Katherine McLaughlin	Recording Secretary
	Justine Chambers	Contracts Coordinator

(B.O.S. 4/7/05 Tape 1-0001)

NOTE: Unless otherwise indicated, each item was introduced by staff's reading/outlining/clarifying the Board Action Request and/or supporting documentation. Staff members present for each Department are listed under that Department's heading. Any other individuals who spoke are listed immediately following the item heading. A tape recording of these proceedings is on file in the Clerk-Recorder's office. This tape is available for review and inspection during normal business hours.

CALL TO ORDER, ROLL CALL, INVOCATION, AND PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE - Mayor Teixeira convened the meeting at 8:30 a.m. Roll call was taken. The entire Board was present, constituting a quorum. Sheriff Furlong led the Pledge of Allegiance. Rev. Bruce Henderson of the Airport Road Church of Christ gave the Invocation.

CITIZEN COMMENTS (1-0041) - Gil Yanuck gave the Board some photographs purportedly illustrating the road and curb conditions in Lakeview. These conditions were allegedly the results of the winter storms and snow removal efforts. The road allegedly had not been serviced in many years. Paving had not been provided in the area for more than six years. The hazards were described. He felt that he is providing the City with notice and that the City is being negligent. The photographs were given to the City Manager. Mayor Teixeira directed her to have Development Services Director Burnham meet with Mr. Yanuck and to give the Board a status report defining the course action that will be taken. Mr. Burnham explained that he had been through the area. The street crew will be replacing the curbing that was destroyed by the snow plow. He was uncertain when the street sealing will occur. Mayor Teixeira asked for a copy of the action plan and its timeframes. Additional comments were solicited but none were given. No formal action was taken.

1. APPROVAL OF MINUTES - 1/3/02, 3/3/04, AND 3/17/04 (1-0085) - Supervisor Aldean pointed

CARSON CITY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
Minutes of the April 7, 2005, Meeting
Page 2

out that neither she nor Mayor Teixeira were Board Members at the time of the 1/3/02 meeting. She indicated an intent to abstain from acting on these Minutes. Mayor Teixeira agreed. Supervisor Livermore moved for approval of the January 3, 2002, Minutes. Supervisor Williamson seconded the motion. Motion carried 3-0-2 with Mayor Teixeira and Supervisor Aldean abstaining.

Supervisor Aldean moved to approve the Minutes from the regular meetings of the Carson City Board of Supervisors dated March 3, 2005, and the Minutes of the meeting of March 17, 2005, as presented. Supervisor Williamson seconded the motion. Motion carried 5-0

2. AGENDA MODIFICATIONS (1-0109) - None.

3. A. LIQUOR AND ENTERTAINMENT BOARD - Mayor Teixeira recessed the Board of Supervisors session and immediately convened the Liquor and Entertainment Board. For Minutes of the Liquor and Entertainment Board meeting, see its folder. (During the meeting, Chairperson Teixeira turned the gavel over to Vice Chairperson Williamson and left the meeting.)

B. BOARD OF SUPERVISORS - Following adjournment of the Liquor and Entertainment Board, Vice Chairperson Williamson reconvened the Board of Supervisors session. A quorum of the Board was present although Mayor Teixeira was absent.

4. CONSENT AGENDA (1-0349)

4-1. ASSESSOR

A. ACTION TO APPROVE THE REFUND AND PARTIAL REMOVAL OF THE TAXES FROM THE REAL PROPERTY TAXES FOR 1404 SPOONER DR. (APN: 002-551-10) FROM THE 2002/03, 2003/04 AND THE 2004/05 REAL PROPERTY TAX ROLLS, FOR A TOTAL OF THREE YEARS, PER NRS 361.768

B. ACTION TO APPROVE THE REFUND AND PARTIAL REMOVAL OF THE TAXES FROM THE REAL PROPERTY TAXES FOR 3879 HIGHWAY 50 EAST (APN: 008-305-01) FROM THE 2002/03, 2003/04 AND THE 2004/05 REAL PROPERTY TAX ROLLS, FOR A TOTAL OF THREE YEARS, PER NRS 361.768

C. ACTION TO APPROVE THE PARTIAL REMOVAL AND PARTIAL REFUND OF TAXES FOR PARCEL NUMBER 009-161-01 (111 CLEARVIEW DR) FROM THE 2004/05 REAL PROPERTY TAX ROLL PER NRS 361.060

4-2. TREASURER - ACTION TO APPROVE THE PARTIAL REMOVAL AND PARTIAL REFUND OF THE TAXES TO THE 2004-2005 REAL PROPERTY TAX ROLL ON EIGHT PARCELS DUE TO DONATION OF VETERAN'S EXEMPTION TO THE VETERAN'S HOME

4-3. DEVELOPMENT SERVICES - ENGINEERING

A. ACTION TO APPROVE AN AGREEMENT BETWEEN MILLARD V. AND ELIZABETH I. IRWIN, AND CARSON CITY WHEREBY MILLARD V. AND ELIZABETH I. IRWIN AGREE TO GRANT A PERMANENT EASEMENT AND RIGHT-OF-WAY FOR THE CONSTRUCTION OF STORM DRAINAGE FACILITIES UPON, OVER AND ACROSS CERTAIN REAL PROPERTY DESCRIBED AS ASSESSOR'S PARCEL NUMBER 007-112-02

B. ACTION TO CORRECT A DOCUMENT FOR RELEASE AND TERMINATION OF AGREEMENT AND TEMPORARY CONSTRUCTION EASEMENT DEED EXECUTED BY DOLORES C. BENNETT IN FAVOR OF CARSON CITY WHEREBY DOLORES C. BENNETT

GRANTED A TEMPORARY CONSTRUCTION EASEMENT UPON, OVER, AND ACROSS CERTAIN REAL PROPERTY DESCRIBED AS ASSESSOR'S PARCEL NUMBER 002-112-09 FOR THE PURPOSE OF ROADWAY CONSTRUCTION RELATED TO THE WIDENING OF A PORTION OF ROOP STREET. THE PREVIOUS DOCUMENT WAS RECORDED UNDER FILE NUMBER 323893 AS "RELEASE AND TERMINATION A TEMPORARY CONSTRUCTION EASEMENT DEED"

C. ACTION TO ACCEPT A PREVIOUSLY RECORDED DEDICATION OF PUBLIC ROADWAY AND UTILITY PURPOSES, UNDER AND ACROSS LANDS OWNED BY RALPH A. GONI; ROBERT F. GONI, WILLIAM J. GONI; AND EDWARD GONI (APN#009-331-15), TO CARSON CITY

D. ACTION TO APPROVE CARSON WATER SUBCONSERVANCY DISTRICT ENTERING INTO A COOPERATING TECHNICAL PARTNER (CTP) PROGRAM WITH FEMA FOR CARSON CITY FLOOD MAPPING

4-4. DEVELOPMENT SERVICES - PLANNING AND ZONING - ACTION TO RE-SIGN ORDER OF ABANDONMENT OF PUBLIC RIGHT OF WAY, BEING AN APPROXIMATELY 50 FOOT WIDE BY 488-FOOT-LONG PORTION OF DORI WAY BETWEEN LOMPA LANE (AS REALIGNED) ON THE NORTH AND U.S. HIGHWAY EAST ON THE SOUTH, ADJACENT TO APNS 008-161-62, 63 AND 65 IN CARSON CITY, NEVADA, DUE TO CHANGE OF OWNER, CHANGE OF APN NUMBERS, MODIFICATION OF CONDITION 3, AND DELETION OF CONDITION 4, FILE NO. AB-04-112

4-5. DEVELOPMENT SERVICES - CONTRACTS

A. ACTION TO ACCEPT DEVELOPMENT SERVICES RECOMMENDATION ON THE SHERIFF'S OFFICE ADMINISTRATION BUILDING - ARCHITECTURAL SERVICES PROJECT, CONTRACT #2003-029 AND AUTHORIZE DEVELOPMENT SERVICES TO ISSUE PAYMENTS TO GANTHNER MELBY LLC, 5190 NEIL ROAD, SUITE 231, RENO, NV 89502 FOR AN AMENDMENT NO. 2 AMOUNT OF \$586,000 AND AUTHORIZE THE CONTRACTS DIVISION TO ISSUE AMENDMENTS FOR A NOT TO EXCEED AMOUNT OF \$25,000

B. ACTION TO ACCEPT DEVELOPMENT SERVICES RECOMMENDATION ON THE HOT SPRINGS ROAD AND SAGE STREET TO PINE LANE RECONSTRUCTION - ENGINEERING SERVICES PROJECT, CONTRACT #2004-88 AND AUTHORIZE DEVELOPMENT SERVICES TO ISSUE PAYMENTS TO STANTEC CONSULTING, 6980 SIERRA CENTER PARKWAY SUITE 100, RENO, NV 89511 FOR A CONTRACT AMOUNT OF \$123,350 AND AUTHORIZE THE CONTRACTS DIVISION TO ISSUE AMENDMENTS FOR A NOT TO EXCEED AMOUNT OF \$21,650

C. ACTION TO ACCEPT DEVELOPMENT SERVICES RECOMMENDATION ON EAST HIGHWAY 50 SEWER & WATER SERVICE AREA PRELIMINARY ENGINEERING, CONTRACT NO. 2002-073 AND AUTHORIZE PAYMENT TO BERRYMAN & HENIGAR, 720 THIRD AVENUE, SEATTLE, WA 98104-1820 FOR A CONTRACT AMOUNT OF \$125,042 AND AUTHORIZE DEVELOPMENT SERVICES TO ISSUE AMENDMENTS FOR A NOT TO EXCEED AMOUNT OF \$12,458 FOR A MAXIMUM CONTRACT AMOUNT OF NOT TO EXCEED \$137,500

4-6. CITY MANAGER - ACTION TO REAPPOINT ROBERT DARNEY TO THE HISTORIC RESOURCES COMMISSION FOR A FOUR YEAR TERM ENDING FEBRUARY, 2009

4-7. FINANCE - ACTION TO ADOPT A RESOLUTION CREATING THE GRANT FUND, A SPECIAL REVENUE FUND

4-8. HEALTH - ACTION TO ADOPT A RESOLUTION OF THE BOARD OF SUPER-

CARSON CITY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
Minutes of the April 7, 2005, Meeting
Page 4

VISORS OF CARSON CITY DESIGNATING WHO MAY PREPARE, SIGN AND SERVE CITATIONS AND OTHER MATTERS PROPERLY RELATED THERETO - Supervisor Livermore pulled Item 4-1-C due to his need to abstain. Vice Chairperson pulled Item 4-5-C for discussion. Resolution numbers for Items 4-7 and 4-8 were provided. Supervisor Livermore moved for approval of the Consent Agenda consisting of two items from the Assessor, one item from the Treasurer, four items from Development Services - Engineering, one item from Development Services - Planning and Zoning, two items remaining from Development Services - Contracts, one item for reappointment to the Historic Resources Commission of Robert Darney from the City Manager with recognition of Mr. Darney's continuance to a four-year term, and one item from the Finance Department and that the Resolution be numbered 2005-R-13, and one item from the Health Department and that its Resolution be numbered 2005-R-14, as presented. Supervisor Aldean seconded the motion. Motion carried 4-0-1 with Mayor Teixeira absent.

4-1-C. (1-0392) Supervisor Aldean moved to approve the partial removal and partial refund of taxes for Parcel Number 009-161-01, 111 Clearview Drive from the 2004/05 Real Property Tax Roll per NRS 361.060; fiscal impact is a decrease of \$758.40 from the Real Property Tax Roll for the 2004/05 fiscal year. Supervisor Staub seconded the motion. Motion carried 3-0-1-1 with Supervisor Livermore abstaining and Mayor Teixeira absent.

4-5-C. (1-0413) Development Services Director Andrew Burnham explained staff's efforts to obtain local bids and the bids that were received. Supervisor Aldean moved to accept Development Services recommendation on East Highway 50 Sewer and Water Service Area Preliminary Engineering, Contract No. 2002-073, and authorize payment to Berryman and Henigar, 720 Third Avenue, Seattle, Washington 98104-1820 for a contract amount of \$125,042 and authorize Development Services to issue amendments for a not to exceed amount of \$12,458 for a maximum contract amount not to exceed amount of \$137,500. Contract Coordinator Justine Chambers corrected the contract number to be 2004-073. Supervisor Aldean amended her motion to reflect the correct Contract No. of 2004-073, funding source is Sewer Master Plan 515-0000-434-7979 - \$89,375, Water Master Plan 520-3505-435-7875 - \$48,125 as provided for in fiscal years 2004/2005 and 2005/2006. Supervisor Staub seconded the motion. Motion carried 4-0-1 with Mayor Teixeira absent.

5. PARKS AND RECREATION - ACTION TO ENDORSE THE UNITED STATES FOREST SERVICE CLEAR CREEK/ KINGS CANYON LANDSCAPE ANALYSIS AND STRATEGY (1-4572) - Parks Planner Vern Krahn; U.S. Forest Service District Ranger Gary Shift; U. S. Forest Service Hydrologist Sally Champion, Open Space Manager Juan Guzman - Mr. Krahn's introduction included introducing District Ranger Shift and Hydrologist Champion and explaining the public meetings held on the project. A power point presentation was given highlighting the major points of the report. Justification for coordinating the logging operations was explained. Discussion explained the road restoration plans for Kings Canyon Road which would make it passable but little more work would be done. Supervisor Aldean expressed a desire to have the Kings Canyon Road placed on the National Historical Register. Discussion clarified the road improvements as being to maintain it in a state of arrested decay plus some historic interpretation. Mr. Guzman indicated that the Historic Resources Commission supports her desire. Supervisor Livermore explained his surprise in learning during the Parks and Recreation Commission meeting on the program of the Forest Service's intent to retain some public accesses for OHV usage while defining sensitive areas which will have restricted usage. He complimented the Forest Service on its efforts. He also pointed out that development of the program had been delayed by the Waterfall Fire. District Ranger Shift expressed the

CARSON CITY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
Minutes of the April 7, 2005, Meeting
Page 5

belief that federal funding will be reduced in the future. He introduced Linda Guy who is the Forest Service Engineer in Charge and represented their Supervisor. Reasons for her attendance were provided. District Ranger Shift then complimented Messrs. Krahn and Guzman for their involvement in the process and presented them with plaques of commendation. Hydrologist Champion also complimented them. She stressed that the area is the Forest Service employees' backyards.

Supervisor Staub explained that Hydrologist Champion had been attending meetings on Old Clear Creek Road. He expressed the hope that the Forest Service will remain involved in the project and will at some point become an active participant in its issues and maintenance. He also pointed out that the development in that area will create more pressure on the road and increase its usage. District Ranger Shift expressed a willingness to attend the next meeting on the road. Issues the Forest Service is analyzing to determine its future role in the area and its funding/maintenance responsibilities were noted.

Board comments complimented the Forest Service on the program. Discussion indicated that the development of the parking areas will be brought back for discussion/action by the Board. Funding and priorities may limit the amount of development that occurs. Public involvement and discussion on the development plans will occur. Examples were provided of how this had occurred and will occur in the future. District Ranger Shift stressed the desire to be a good neighbor and to consider the neighbors' concerns. Engineering designs, staging areas, and signage are used to discourage vehicle usage of paths. Peavine Mountain illustrated the success of these efforts. Loops provide opportunities for OHVs to circle around rather than cross into areas with restricted accesses. The public participation process is utilized to provide successful roadways. The road system will be defined over the summer. A decision will be made in October during a public meeting(s). Hydrologist Champion then explained the revegetation plans for Voltaire and along Spooner/Highway 50 West. She also indicated that if the seeding attempts at the Waterfall Fire burn area are felt to be inadequate, additional seeding may occur. A lot of volunteers will be needed for these efforts. Comments explained NDOW (Nevada Department of Wildlife), NDOT, and Carson City's involvement in the Waterfall Fire seeding effort. NDOW and the Forest Service were thanked for their assistance. Hydrologist Champion briefly described the seed mix. District Ranger Shift explained the benefits provided by the removal of the dead and downed trees. Mayor Pro-Tem Williamson commended and thanked them for their report. Public comments were solicited but none were given. Supervisor Livermore moved to endorse the United States Forest Service Clear Creek/Kings Canyon Landscape Analysis and Strategy. Supervisor Staub seconded the motion. Motion carried 4-0.

6. HUMAN RESOURCES - ACTION TO APPROVE AN ADDENDUM TO THE 1999-2005 COLLECTIVE BARGAINING AGREEMENT BETWEEN CARSON CITY AND THE CARSON CITY FIRE DEPARTMENT CLASSIFIED CHIEF OFFICERS ASSOCIATION WHICH PROVIDES FOR AN INCREASE IN EMPLOYEES HEALTH BENEFITS AND A 1.0% REDUCTION IN BASE SALARY (1-1245) - City Manager Linda Ritter - Supervisor Livermore moved to approve an Addendum to the 1999-2005 Collective Bargaining Agreement between Carson City and the Carson City Fire Department Classified Chief Officers Association which provides for an increase of Employees Health Benefits and a 1.0% reduction in base salary; fiscal impact: \$6,500 annually for dependent medical coverage only; currently no members of the unit would be eligible for retirement benefits. Supervisor Aldean seconded the motion. Discussion indicated that Ms. Ritter was uncertain whether the \$6,500 annual amount for dependent medical coverage included the 1.0% reduction in base salary. The motion to approve the addendum was voted and carried 4-0.

CARSON CITY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
Minutes of the April 7, 2005, Meeting
Page 6

RECESS: Mayor Pro-Tem Williamson indicated that Mayor Teixeira had returned, however, was called back to the Legislature. A recess was declared at 9:43 a.m. A quorum of the Board was present when Mayor Pro-Tem Williamson reconvened the meeting at 9:54 a.m. Mayor Teixeira was absent.

7. DEVELOPMENT SERVICES - Director Andrew Burnham - ENGINEERING - PRESENTATION BY STAFF ON THE STATUS OF THE STORMWATER MANAGEMENT PROGRAM AND CAPITAL PROJECTS (1-1300) - Mr. Burnham highlighted the report. Policies and programs will be forwarded to the Board for adoption. FEMA funding reductions were described. The funding and projects provided by RTC were explained. The current storm water rates will generate approximately \$640,000. The projected need was \$1.3 million. As the electorate rejected the proposed sales tax program, the storm water rates will have to be adjusted to cover the necessary funding level. The original plan had called for three engineers. Experience has indicated that only two are needed. A reduction in the operational program also cut the funding needs. It is now believed that only \$950,000 is needed to fund the program. A rate revision is now being analyzed by Finance. (Mayor Teixeira arrived during this explanation—10 a.m. The entire Board was present, constituting a quorum.) Criticisms of the commercial rate were noted. Staff is evaluating having one or two tiers for the commercial rate. Mr. Burnham then explained the status of the storm water projects in the Waterfall Fire area and the reasons some of them were delayed. Projects using FEMA funds must be completed by August. The creeks are now running full. Vicee Canyon Creek is carrying a lot of mud. Its heavy sedimentation has required cleaning the upper basins on three separate occasions. The basins will need continued cleaning until revegetation occurs. Mr. Guzman has visited the area “a lot” and believes that the reseeded is taking hold. A memo defining the Storm Water Capital Bond Program as originally developed and the revised plan was distributed to the Board and Clerk. (A copy is in the file.) A description of the logging operation was provided. Mr. Burnham felt that the program is on track to be completed as scheduled. Supervisor Livermore explained an NDOT meeting on Phase 2 of the Freeway and its plan to use a “prime” commercial location for a detention basin. Mr. Burnham explained the efforts to locate upstream storage sites to reduce/eliminate that basin. All of the alternative locations have been very expensive and lack a funding mechanism for development. NDOT has allegedly committed to eliminate the basin if the City can find an alternative that is affordable. Supervisor Livermore stressed the need to find an alternative as it will cost three times as much to move the basin once it is developed. Supervisor Aldean described her meeting with NDOT Freeway Project Manager Jim Gallegos, Parks Planner Vern Krahn, Open Space Manager Juan Guzman, Parks and Recreation Director Roger Moellendorf, and Art Hannafin in an effort to create an aesthetically pleasing design for the basin as it is located at the gateway to the community. Mr. Gallegos had purportedly indicated a willingness to allow private development over the basin if a project is found. Comments indicated that such a project will require money to accomplish. Public comments were solicited but none were given. Mr. Burnham indicated that the action items will be to the Board in May. No formal action was required or taken. Mayor Pro-Tem Williamson returned the gavel to Mayor Teixeira.

8. DEVELOPMENT SERVICES - PLANNING AND ZONING - Community Development Director Walter Sullivan

B. ACTION REGARDING AN APPEAL OF THE PLANNING COMMISSION'S DECISION OF APPROVAL OF A SPECIAL USE PERMIT APPLICATION FROM JOHN MICHAEL AND MAGGIE M. SERRANO TO ALLOW THE CONSTRUCTION OF A DUPLEX AS A CONDITIONAL USE ON PROPERTY ZONED GENERAL COMMERCIAL (GC), LOCATED AT 3444 U.S. HIGHWAY 50 EAST, ASSESSOR'S PARCEL NUMBER 008-271-13, BASED ON SEVEN

CARSON CITY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
Minutes of the April 7, 2005, Meeting
Page 7

FINDINGS AND SUBJECT TO THE RECOMMENDED CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL CONTAINED IN THE STAFF REPORT, FILE SUP-04-215 (1-1581) - Estia Warren, Sam Ward, Roy Clegg, David Lester, John Serrano, Chief Deputy District Attorney Melanie Bruketta - Mr. Sullivan's introduction pointed out that new information had not been provided by the Appellants prior to the Board's meeting. The issues listed in the appeal had been discussed by the Planning Commission. The Board's policy to return an item to the Planning Commission when new information is submitted at the Board's level was noted. The Appellants should submit their case first. The Applicant should respond. No one was present or spoke in opposition at the Commission meeting. Two letters of opposition were in the Commission's packet. Twelve letters were sent to the Recreation Department and faxed to the Planning and Zoning Department. Copies of these letters were made and given to the Commission at the meeting. Time was taken by the Commissioners to read the letters. The letters are mentioned on the meeting's tape. The Commissioners discussed the application for 40 to 45 minutes. No one from the public spoke on the item.

Ms. Warren submitted a packet of information to the Board. (A copy was not given to the staff or Clerk.) Ms. Warren felt that the residents' concerns were minimized. The residents' letters were referenced as "form" letters. She objected to the use of this term to describe the letters. The authors are electors and residents of the City. The opposition came from 13 of the 15 residents living in the area. Reasons they were unable to attend the meeting were listed. She had allegedly sent emails to the Board members yesterday. (A copy was not furnished to the Clerk.) The email was read into the record. She urged the Board to visit the site and see the impact the Commission's action and the access route will have on the neighborhood. Her neighborhood is comprised of single story, single family residences. The project will have a detrimental impact on the entire community as it will allow accesses through residential neighborhoods for commercial development. She claimed that the petitions against the project contained more than 13 signatures.

Mr. Sullivan indicated that he had not seen the material that Ms. Warren had submitted. The requirement that the appeal must address the issues raised in the appeal was explained. Mr. Sullivan felt that the information that was submitted was new. Mayor Teixeira explained the Board's policy that requires new information to be submitted to the Planning Commission and reconsideration by the Commission of its action. Ms. Warren felt that the major points in the appeal were that: the letters were not "form letters"; the establishment of a detrimental precedent; and the statement that the project would not be detrimental to the residential neighborhood. The Board Members indicated that they had visited the area. Ms. Warren then asked Sam Ward, an appraiser, to make a statement indicating that the proposed use will be detrimental to the neighborhood.

Discussion between the Board and Mr. Sullivan indicated that a portion of a garage will be removed to provide access to the property.

Mr. Ward indicated that he did not speak at the Commission meeting. Mayor Teixeira explained the fine line that was being approached. The Board does not wish to consider information which the Commission does not hear. Allowing new information at the Board level will open Pandora's box. Additional public comments were solicited.

Mr. Clegg explained that the property abuts the rear of his property. It will face his bedroom windows. He will be looking at a two-story building. He was willing to support a single story structure. His concerns regarding the safety of his small daughter when playing in his backyard was noted.

CARSON CITY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
Minutes of the April 7, 2005, Meeting
Page 8

Mayor Teixeira reiterated that new information is not to be submitted for Mr. Lester. Mr. Lester indicated that he had submitted an individual letter. It was not a form letter. He felt that the proposed structure would establish a precedent as there are none like it in the neighborhood. He will be impacted by having it adjacent to his backyard. His zoning is the same as Mr. Serrano's. If the two story, two family structure is allowed, he asked that he be allowed to have a similar structure on his property. He questioned how an access to Mr. Serrano's rear property will be maintained if Mr. Serrano's front parcel is sold. This is the parcel that is granting the access. The rear property has no value as it is landlocked. Granting the access will create additional traffic concerns for the front property. He disagreed with the Commission's reasons for changing the masonry wall to a wood fence. The freeway sound wall and the Graves Lane sound wall were cited as examples of walls which he did not consider "prison walls". The same could be done with the masonry wall. Mr. Lester indicated that his property address is 3442 Champion. His property abuts Mr. Serrano's southern property line. The zoning is General Commercial which is the same as Mr. Serrano's property.

Discussion ensued between the Board and Mr. Sullivan on how the proposed access will be handled. The three Public Works conditions related to the access were read. They require an access route from "Mayflower". A 25-foot wide all weather surface with appropriate easements for utilities and drainage were required. The drainage access must be conveyed to the City and perpetuate the drainage. Mr. Sullivan was unsure how the access easement is handled. The conditions require an access easement being obtained prior to development of the property. Supervisor Aldean explained that an individual cannot deed an access from himself to himself. The easement will have to be created prior to the sale of the front property in order to avoid having a land locked parcel. Ms. Bruketta indicated that City Engineer Werner understands the process. He had attempted to do a similar easement with City property in the past, however, she was uncertain how it was handled.

Mr. Serrano explained that he had been in and out of the area for 50 years. His plan is to live in one of the units and to rent the other. It will be his retirement home. He had considered various options including putting storage units on the property. He owns the front parcel. It has an easement to the rear parcel which may impact the value of the front property. A buyer will be aware/informed of this easement. One of the units will not have windows facing the backyard. The property to the south of his parcel has a mobile home. It is zoned general commercial. He felt that the property owner could have a duplex, if desired. He indicated that he had thought about combining the two parcels and eliminating the lot line, removing the mobile home, and constructing another structure/use. He wanted the rental unit to provide a source of income during his retirement. Clarification indicated that there is an easement to the rear property that had not been recorded. It is on the north side of the home. He used a map to illustrate it to the Board. He felt that the duplex provided a transition from the storage units to the east. The issue of having to drive through the residential neighborhood to access the property remains even if a different/commercial use of the property is allowed. A mini-storage business would create more traffic than his proposal. The duplex was felt to be the best fit for the neighborhood. It will increase the property values based on the building.

Mr. Sullivan agreed that it is not advisable to allow commercial access to a property through a single family residential parcel. He did not believe that there is a legal prohibition against having an access from a single family residential neighborhood into a commercially zoned area. He agreed that it may not be advantageous. Supervisor Staub reiterated that the information submitted by the Appellant is new. Mr. Ward had not made a presentation to the Commission. Individuals were sick or had conflicts and could not attend the Commission's meeting. The Appellant had allegedly asked for a continuance to submit additional information. The

CARSON CITY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
Minutes of the April 7, 2005, Meeting
Page 9

opportunity for them to present it should be provided. **Supervisor Staub moved to refer the matter back to the Planning Commission for a review and further consideration based not only upon the new information that has been provided today, which the staff has not seen, but, furthermore, on the fact that the Appellants themselves want the matter continued. Supervisor Livermore seconded the motion.** Supervisor Aldean read for the record from Page 22, Policy 1.7: "Commercial use of the property is not feasible, particularly due to the access constraints. The proposed use will make a transition from single family residential uses on three sides to the commercial use to the east of the subject parcel."

Mayor Teixeira explained that no one wins today. The item is being returned to the Planning Commission based upon the recommendations. Mr. Serrano felt that the new issues that were raised should have been brought up earlier in the process. Letters were sent out two weeks before the Planning Commission meeting. He came up from the Bay Area. He had sent out 134 letters to the neighborhood. No one came or made any statements until the last day. Even then there were only two letters. No one spoke in opposition at the Commission hearing.

Supervisor Staub reiterated his motion for the record. The motion was "to refer the matter back to the Planning Commission for a review and further consideration, based not only upon the new information that has been provided today, which the staff has not seen, but, furthermore, the fact that the Appellants themselves want the matter continued". Supervisor Livermore continued his second. The motion was voted by roll call with the following result: Williamson - No; Aldean - Yes; Livermore - Yes; Staub - Yes; and Mayor Teixeira - No. Motion carried 3-2.

A. ACTION TO INTRODUCE, ON FIRST READING, AN ORDINANCE AMENDING TITLE 8 PUBLIC PEACE, SAFETY AND MORALS BY ADDING CHAPTER 8.09 RECREATIONAL VEHICLE PARKING, WHICH IS A NEW CHAPTER THAT ESTABLISHES RULES RELATING TO THE PARKING OF RECREATIONAL VEHICLES IN RESIDENTIAL NEIGHBORHOODS AND ON COMMERCIAL PARKING LOTS AND OTHER MATTERS PROPERLY RELATED THERETO (1-2482) - Chief Deputy District Attorney Melanie Bruketta, John Wagner, Larry Scott, Ron Percivalle, Ron Cox, Health Director Daren Winkelman, Carolyn Corrales, Gil Yanuck, Mike Roach, Gene Lepire, Chamber of Commerce Chief Executive Officer Larry Osborne - Mr. Sullivan distributed a revised ordinance to the Board and Clerk. (A copy is in the file.) The revisions were reviewed by Supervisor Staub and Mr. Sullivan. Supervisor Staub revised 8.09.060 Subsection 2 to read: Recreational vehicles may *only* be parked *on* a hard surface... Clarification indicated that the ordinance relates to Recreation Vehicle parking and not camping. Supervisor Livermore explained the Regional Medical Center's proposed plan may provide some parking spaces for RVs. The Center will have to obtain approval of the plan and a permit to allow this parking to occur. Mayor Teixeira suggested that rather than allow 12 hour parking, which will require enforcement, the ordinance should merely state no overnight parking except in those lots that have submitted plans and been approved for such parking. Examples were provided supporting overnight parking and reasons it should not be needed. Supervisor Aldean suggested that Section 8.09.050 Subsection 2 be revised to indicate that recreational vehicles cannot be on a City street for more than 120 hours in a 30-day period. As written the ordinance is impossible to enforce and not practical for the recreational vehicle users. She pointed out that the ordinance does not include horse trailers or boats. They can be just as much of a problem as the RVs. The ordinance will be enforced on a complaint basis. She suggested that time be taken for additional tinkering. Mr. Sullivan indicated that the addition of boats and horse trailers will need more hearings. Ms. Bruketta opined that the ordinance should not be revised to include them due to the Open

CARSON CITY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
Minutes of the April 7, 2005, Meeting
Page 10

Meeting Law constraints. Supervisor Aldean then explained a telephone call from an individual whose Suburban is larger than some travel trailers. She questioned whether the line of sight issue should be addressed by the mass of the vehicle rather than a listing. Mayor Teixeira felt that this was additional word smithing and that caveats could be added later. Its enforcement is questionable at best. Neighborhood disputes were sited as having a potential for creating a nightmare for enforcement. Abuses will occur. The intent is to improve the looks of the community. Common sense in the enforcement is required. He thanked Supervisor Staub for his efforts to improve the ordinance. A perfect ordinance will never be crafted. The ordinance should include citation rights.

Mr. Sullivan then explained Sandra Danforth's letter on the need for the vehicle to be parked 12 feet from the curb and the neighbor's adjacent driveway. This setback eliminates the line of sight conflict between drivers and pedestrians. Mayor Teixeira felt that there is an adequate distance between driveways, therefore, the setback is not required. He reiterated that there are safety and property rights issues involved.

Supervisor Livermore explained the trailers he had seen on Kit Sierra Loop when he toured that area for the previous item. He had discussed the issue with several people. Media coverage of the topic was noted. He suggested that the issue of residential neighborhoods and commercial parking lots be separated. Complaints have been coming from the residential neighborhoods. He had not received any complaints from RV park owners regarding the use of commercial parking lots. Public comments were solicited.

Mr. Wagner agreed that there are two problems involved. One relates to residential areas and the other is in commercial areas. He suggested that no parking of RVs be allowed between 8 p.m. and 8 a.m., specifically, in commercial areas. This addresses the Walmart and the Nugget issues. Examples of on-street parking of RVs were explained to illustrate what he perceived as being the neighborhood/residential problems. Three days of on-street parking should be adequate in a 30-day period. He cited yesterday's *Nevada Appeal* survey to support his contention that restrictions are wanted and needed.

Mr. Scott thanked the Board for taking on the problem. He questioned whether Section 8.09.050 Subsection 3 required him to obtain his unnamed neighbor's permission to park his, Mr. Scott's, RV in front of his, Mr. Scott's, own property. Board clarification explained that, so long as he is parked in front of his own property, permission is not required from his neighbor. If he parks in front of his neighbor's, Mr. Scott will have to have permission from that neighbor.

(2-0019) Mr. Percivalle explained his involvement with the ordinance a year-and-a-half ago due to problems he had encountered with his neighbor. He indicated that he could live with the 12-foot setback. His problems with his neighbor were briefly described. He acknowledged the difficulties that will be encountered attempting to enforce the ordinance even on a complaint basis. He agreed that the RV at the corner of Tacoma and Fourth or Fifth Street should have a Nevada license plate. He felt that it is possible for his neighbor to park his RV in his driveway. The CC&Rs will address this issue. Mayor Teixeira explained that the City/Board cannot enforce CC&Rs. Mr. Percivalle felt that CC&Rs were created to address problems such as the RVs. He suggested that the Board develop a mechanism to help those neighborhoods that do not have CC&Rs. The ordinance was a compromise and should help neighbors make their areas more aesthetically pleasing. Mayor Teixeira explained a friend's efforts to satisfy a neighbor who complained about the location of the friend's RV. Mr. Percivalle felt that as long as the RV is in the owner's backyard it should not impact the neighborhood. His neighbor, however, has moved his RV only one time during the

CARSON CITY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
Minutes of the April 7, 2005, Meeting
Page 11

1½ years it had been parked and on that occasion it was gone for only 1½ days.

Mr. Cox indicated he did not wish to live under CC&Rs. Mr. Winkelman explained to him that the enforcement would be by written complaint. Mr. Cox then explained his problems with his neighbor who is a contractor and works out of his home. The neighbor starts his business day at 5:30 a.m. Even though he had allegedly complained about the neighbor, nothing has ever been done. He was glad to see the changes to the 72 hour restrictions. The original ordinance would have restricted the use to one weekend a month.

Ms. Corrales commended the Board for listening and making the changes. She supported eliminating the time taken to load and unload the RVs from the amount of time the vehicle can be parked on the street during a 30-day period. She felt that the language used in the ordinance should be straight forward and understandable.

Gil Yanuck thanked Mr. Sullivan and his staff for their efforts. It was a difficult task. His involvement with the process was noted. The resulting ordinance consolidates many different sections of the Code into one location.

Mr. Roach pointed out that many residences are now being sold with RV pads and accesses. He suggested that individuals who own RVs without these amenities be allowed to park them. He urged the Board to adopt a clause grandfathering these individuals. Mayor Teixeira felt that the ordinance would not be a large impact those residents. Mr. Sullivan indicated that, if they have a pad, they can park their RVs on it. Mr. Roach pointed out that some of these pads may not comply with the setback requirements.

Mr. Lepire thanked the Board for “cleaning up the town”. He liked restricting the RV parking in commercial lots to 12 hours. Signs should be posted indicating that no overnight parking is allowed. The fine for overnight parking should be \$100. It should be posted on a sign saying no parking from 6 p.m. to 6 a.m. Free enterprise will allow them to go wherever they want. The room tax will be down as a result of the high gasoline prices. Therefore, elimination of the overnight parking will not create a major impact. He also felt that “free parking” should be eliminated. He then explained his safety concerns about the propane tanks. He stressed the need to have the RVs parked in designated spaces that are 20 feet by 40 feet.

Lorie Beyback, representing the National Association of RV Parks and Campgrounds, indicated that the commercial portion of the ordinance is in conflict with the State laws. A copy of the law was distributed to the Board and Clerk. (A copy is in the file.) The ordinance will create illegal campgrounds if overnight parking is allowed. Mayor Teixeira suggested that the ordinance indicate that no overnight parking is allowed and that the fine is \$100. Ms. Beyback felt that it would then comply with the law. Mayor Teixeira felt that the ordinance would be easier to enforce than allowing 12 hours of parking. Mr. Lepire also supported his suggested revision.

Mr. Percivalle pointed out that a lot of the older City lots could not accommodate RV parking, e.g., the Single Family 6,000 District. His unique situation is different due to its joint driveway access.

Mayor Teixeira indicated to Mr. Cox that Nevada Day would be the exception to the ordinance.

Mr. Osborne indicated that the Chamber supported the 12-hour parking limit. The overnight parking is not

CARSON CITY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
Minutes of the April 7, 2005, Meeting
Page 12

the concern. The majority of the commercial parking lots do not have a problem with RV parking. They do not attract the unwanted elements. The ordinance should be enforced by complaint. The Convention and Visitors Bureau had concerns regarding restricting the parking to four hours. Four hours was not felt to be adequate for tourists to visit and shop in the City. Clarification of his remarks indicated that, if Walmart or any other commercial establishment submits a parking plan to the City and it is approved for overnight parking of RVs, they should be allowed to do it. He also felt that overnight parking should be allowed on Nevada Day weekend. Comments indicated that the enforcement officer may not remain in Carson City for long if he issued citations on Nevada Day weekend.

Supervisor Livermore read for the record a sentence from an article on the Editorial Page of the *Nevada Appeal* stating: "We don't expect City Hall will be sending out a squad of RV police to patrol Carson City's neighborhoods for wayward vehicles." He stressed that the City has an enforcement officer. It will not be hiring a "squad of enforcement officers" to be sent out in vehicles marked RV patrol.

(2-0358) Supervisor Staub moved to introduce on first reading Bill No. 106, AN ORDINANCE AMENDING TITLE 8 PUBLIC PEACE, SAFETY AND MORALS BY ADDING CHAPTER 8.09 RECREATIONAL VEHICLE PARKING, WHICH IS A NEW CHAPTER THAT ESTABLISHES RULES RELATING TO THE PARKING OF RECREATIONAL VEHICLES IN RESIDENTIAL NEIGHBORHOODS AND ON COM-MERCIAL PARKING LOTS AND OTHER MATTERS PROPERLY RELATED THERETO with the following amendments in Chapter 8.09.050 Subsection 1 by removing October and inserting November and, further, at the end adding the language "not to exceed—this addition is already in the (revised) ordinance; Section 2 will be from April 1 to October 31 with the additional language; and recommended adding language at the end of that Subsection "...not to exceed 72 hours."; Subsection 3. During discussion of the 72 hours, Supervisor Staub explained his willingness to extend the 72 hours to a longer period if the Board desired. Supervisor Alden encouraged Supervisor Staub to revise the language to be "one - 120 hour period in a 30-day period". Supervisor Staub amended his motion to revise Subsection 2 to read "...more than one 120-hour period in any 30-day period, except for loading or unloading purposes not to exceed 72 hours". He then continued the motion by indicating there will be no further changes than what has been proposed in the report except under Chapter 8.09.060 Subsection 2 which will read: "recreational vehicles may only be parked on a hard surface, front driveway in a residential zone."; (that) the next change will be under Subsection 3 which should read: "in residential zones, when recreational vehicles are parked in driveways or side yards adjacent to the front setback," deleting "with or without hard surfaces" as the previous section covers that and leaving it in there creates a conflict, "which are within", which he had recommended 10 feet to allow a little more leeway for individuals—(although) he could support 12 (feet) if (the Board) desired, he would leave it at "10 feet of an adjacent neighbor's driveway, recreation vehicles must be set back from the back edge of the curb a minimum of eight feet", he also recommended that we include the language "In cul-de-sacs, the driveway distances shall be reduced to six feet of an adjacent neighbor's driveway and the setback shall be reduced to four feet."; the only other change we have is to accept the 8.09.090 Subsection 1—. Following discussion to remove the 12 hours and prohibit overnight parking unless the lot complies with Subsection 2, Supervisor Staub continued his motion to have 8.09.090 Subsection 1 read: "Recreational vehicles may not be parked overnight in commercial parking lots unless done pursuant to Paragraph 2 below which deals with parking."; and under Subsection 10 added to the end of the language, "Posting of a notice that reads: "No overnight truck or recreational vehicle parking or camping parking pursuant to CCMC 18.05.030" and add the language: "Fine \$100.00"; that is my motion. Supervisor Livermore seconded the motion. Mr. Sullivan requested Subsection 11 of 8.09.090 revised to read: "Commercial parking lots that allow recreational vehicles to park

CARSON CITY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
Minutes of the April 7, 2005, Meeting
Page 13

must have plans as required by this Code approved by the City prior to occupancy.” Supervisors Staub and Livermore concurred with the addition to the motion. Mr. Sullivan then requested a revision to Page 3, Section 2 (8.09.050 Subsection 2) to read: “From April 1 through October 31, a recreational vehicle may not be parked on a city street for more than one - 120 hour period in any 30-day period except for loading or unloading purposes.” and that “not to exceed 72 hours” be deleted. Supervisor Staub indicated that he had made this change. Supervisor Livermore concurred with the change. The motion was voted and carried 5-0.

RECESS: A recess was declared at 11:47 a.m. The entire Board was present when Mayor Teixeira reconvened the meeting at 11:53 a.m., constituting a quorum.

REDEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY (2-0495) - Mayor Teixeira recessed the Board of Supervisors session and passed the gavel to Chairperson Williamson who convened the Redevelopment Authority. For Minutes of the Redevelopment Authority, see its folder.

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS (2-0710) - Following adjournment of the Redevelopment Authority, Chairperson Williamson returned the gavel to Mayor Teixeira who reconvened the Board of Supervisors session. The entire Board was present, constituting a quorum.

9. REDEVELOPMENT/ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT - Director Joe McCarthy - **ACTION TO ADOPT A RESOLUTION BY THE CARSON CITY REDEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY RECOMMENDING TO THE CARSON CITY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS AN AMENDMENT TO THE REDEVELOPMENT PLAN FOR PROJECT AREA NO. 1 BY ADDING TWO PARCELS OF REAL PROPERTY LOCATED AT THE CARSON MALL, APN’S 004-011-01 AND 004-011-04, TO THE EXISTING REDEVELOPMENT PLAN AREA AND CAUSING NO MATERIAL DEVIATION TO THE PLAN OR ANY SUBSTANTIAL CHANGE TO THE COMMUNITY’S MASTER PLAN, PURSUANT TO NRS 279.608 (2-04710)** - Supervisor Williamson moved to adopt Resolution No. 2005-R-15, A RESOLUTION BY THE CARSON CITY REDEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY RECOMMENDING TO THE CARSON CITY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS AN AMENDMENT TO THE REDEVELOPMENT PLAN FOR PROJECT AREA NO. 1 BY ADDING TWO PARCELS OF REAL PROPERTY LOCATED AT THE CARSON MALL, APN’S 004-011-01 AND 004-011-04, TO THE EXISTING REDEVELOPMENT PLAN AREA AND CAUSING NO MATERIAL DEVIATION TO THE PLAN OR ANY SUBSTANTIAL CHANGE TO THE COMMUNITY’S MASTER PLAN, PURSUANT TO NRS 279.608 with the amendments to add the whereas for the School District to be kept whole in their tax increment and to correct the date to be 1986 and to remove the hyphen in the APN. Supervisor Livermore seconded the motion. Motion carried 5-0.

10. DISTRICT ATTORNEY - Chief Deputy District Attorney Melanie Bruketta

A. CLOSED SESSION - ACTION TO RECESS INTO CLOSED SESSION PURSUANT TO NRS 241.015(2)(B)(2) TO MEET WITH THE DEPUTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY TO DISCUSS SETTLEMENT AND DELIBERATE TOWARD A DECISION REGARDING THE LITIGATION BETWEEN BRANDON MARINO AND CARSON CITY, CARSON CITY DEPUTY SHERIFF MIKE CULLEN, CARSON CITY DEPUTY SHERIFF BRIAN MAYS, CARSON CITY SHERIFF KENNETH FURLONG IN UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CASE NO. CV-N-03-0600-DWH-

CARSON CITY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
Minutes of the April 7, 2005, Meeting
Page 14

RAM (2-0730) - Mayor Teixeira indicated that the Board will return to Open Session at the end of the Closed Session to complete the agenda. Supervisor Aldean moved to recess into closed session pursuant to NRS 241.015(2)(b)(2) to meet with the Deputy District Attorney to discuss settlement and deliberation toward a decision regarding litigation between Brandon Marino and Carson City, Carson City Deputy Sheriff Mike Cullen, Carson City Deputy Sheriff Brian Mays, Carson City Sheriff Kenneth Furlong in United States District Court Case No. CV-N-03-0600-DWH-RAM. Supervisor Williamson seconded the motion. Motion carried 5-0. Mayor Teixeira recessed the Open Session at 12:08 p.m.

B. OPEN SESSION - ACTION TO APPROVE A SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT BETWEEN BRANDON MARINO AND CARSON CITY, CARSON CITY DEPUTY SHERIFF MIKE CULLEN, CARSON CITY DEPUTY SHERIFF BRIAN MAYS, CARSON CITY SHERIFF KENNETH FURLONG IN UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CASE NO. CV-N-03-0600-DWH-RAM IN THE AMOUNT OF \$30,000 FOR DAMAGES, COSTS, AND ATTORNEYS FEES (2-0465) - Ms. Bruketta indicated that the media coverage of the item was well written. The assertions in the case are allegations. The Defendants deny them and all responsibility. The concern is the cost of the attorney fees that the City could be required to pay if the City loses the case. The recommendation to settle is based on that potential cost. Supervisor Livermore moved to approve a settlement between Brandon Marino and Carson City, Carson City Deputy Sheriff Mike Cullen, Carson City Deputy Sheriff Brian Mays, and Carson City Sheriff Kenneth Furlong in United States District Court Case No. CV-N-03-0600-DWH-RAM in the amount of \$30,000 for damages, costs, and attorney fees; and the funding source is the Insurance Fund Claims Payment - 590-0745-415.05-13. Supervisor Aldean seconded the motion. Motion carried 5-0.

11. BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

A. MAYOR TEIXEIRA - ACTION TO SUPPORT SENATE BILL 169 REGARDING LEGISLATION TO EXPAND CURRENTLY EXISTING COUNTY AUTHORITY TO ALLOW NEVADA COUNTIES TO ENACT A ONE-EIGHTH CENT SALES TAX FOR CULTURAL AND HISTORIC PROJECTS (2-0805) - Supervisor Aldean left the meeting at 12:30 p.m. (A quorum was still present.) Mayor Teixeira explained the purpose of the Bill. Discussion indicated that the Bill included funding for operations and maintenance for the management of flood plains and the disposal of solid waste. Operations and maintenance funding does not apply to the historic and cultural projects. A 30-year sunset clause had been recommended by Carol Villaro. It was felt that the bond will be for 20 years. These funds will be used to match a Federal grant of \$10 million and a State grant of \$5 million. Comments indicated the use of the funds after the bond is paid off were unknown at this time. Supervisor Livermore indicated for the record that Carson City has stepped up to the plate and supports the V&T Railroad reconstruction project. No other Nevada County has done that. Virginia City did well with its sales tax that was implement ten to 15 years ago. He hoped that the sister Counties will step up and share the burden with Carson City. Mayor Teixeira noted that the Bill is for a quarter cent tax. The intent is to implement an 1/8th cent tax. Supervisor Williamson moved to support Senate Bill 169 regarding legislation to expand currently existing County authority to allow Nevada Counties to enact a one-eight cent sales tax for cultural and historic projects. Supervisor Livermore seconded the motion. Motion carried 4-0.

OTHER RELATED MATTERS: Discussion explained yesterday's groundbreaking ceremony for the section of the track that will cross the Overman Pit. Mayor Teixeira explained the cost of this section of track and pointed out the need for additional funding to complete the project. No action was taken on these topics.

CARSON CITY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
Minutes of the April 7, 2005, Meeting
Page 15

B. MAYOR TEIXEIRA - ACTION TO SUPPORT ASSEMBLY BILL 481 REGARDING LEGISLATION TO MAKE A CONTINGENT APPROPRIATION FOR RESTORATION OF THE RAILROAD LINE FROM VIRGINIA CITY TO CARSON CITY (2-0925) - Mayor Teixeira's introduction included his belief that the funds will never be repaid due to the project costs and the amount of revenue that will be generated. This requirement will have to be addressed at the time ticket sales occur. Supervisor Williamson moved to support Assembly Bill 481 regarding legislation to make a contingent appropriation for restoration of the railroad line from Virginia City to Carson City. Supervisor Livermore seconded the motion. Motion carried 4-0.

C. NON-ACTION ITEM - INTERNAL COMMUNICATIONS AND ADMINISTRATIVE MATTERS (2-0986) - Mayor Teixeira reported on his presentation to the Legislature on an unnamed Bill. He commended the Sheriff's Office and City staff on the action taken at the Downtowner Motel. He felt that the message that was being sent is one that the City is not a tacky community that condones such operations. Supervisor Williamson reported on her activities as a judge for the Boys and Girls Club's Youth in Nevada program. She congratulated Alisha Reyes who was selected as the Youth of the Year and wished her success in her competition at the statewide level. She announced the Comstock Soccer Shootout scheduled for April 23, 24, and 30 and May 1. She urged the public to attend the games. She then explained the status of the "C" Hill flag. Supervisor Livermore reported on the Parks and Recreation Commission meeting. He then explained SB 170.

D. NON-ACTION ITEM - STAFF COMMENTS AND STATUS REPORT - City Manager Ritter indicated a 3 p.m. meeting is still on and stressed the need to review the City Codes to ensure that they will address similar issues as those at the Downtowner Motel. She also noted that it is not the only one that needs to be addressed. She reported that FISH, United Way, and the Red Cross are working to find the motel occupants other living quarters. Ms. Ritter briefly explained the status of the City's tentative budget and the Board's meeting dates regarding it. She noted the newly adopted bill revising the method used for appraising property. It will require annual appraisals. The budget process will include information regarding this impact.

12. ADJOURNMENT - Supervisor Livermore moved to adjourn. Supervisor Williamson seconded the motion. Motion carried 4-0. Mayor Teixeira adjourned the meeting at 12:49 p.m.

The Minutes of the April 7, 2005, Carson City Board of Supervisors meeting

ARE SO APPROVED ON April 21, 2005.

/s/
Marv Teixeira, Mayor

ATTEST:

/s/

CARSON CITY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
Minutes of the April 7, 2005, Meeting
Page 16

Alan Glover, Clerk-Recorder