CARSON CITY PARKS AND RECREATION COMMISSION

Minutes of the February 6, 2007 Meeting Page 1

A regular meeting of the Carson City Parks and Recreation Commission was scheduled for 5:30 p.m. on Tuesday, February 6, 2007 in the Community Center Sierra Room, 851 East William Street, Carson City, Nevada.

PRESENT: Chairperson Donna Curtis

Vice Chairperson John Felesina

Sam Bauman Thomas Keeton Pete Livermore John McKenna Glenn Tierney Rich Wontorski

STAFF: Roger Moellendorf, Parks and Recreation Department Director

Scott Fahrenbruch, Parks and Recreation Director of Operations

Barbara Singer, Recreation Superintendent Joel Dunn, Recreation Program Manager

Mary-Margaret Madden, Senior Deputy District Attorney

Kathleen King, Recording Secretary

NOTE: A recording of these proceedings, the commission's agenda materials, and any written comments or documentation provided to the recording secretary during the meeting are public record, on file in the Clerk-Recorder's Office. These materials are available for review during regular business hours.

CALL TO ORDER AND DETERMINATION OF QUORUM (5:31:18) - Chairperson Curtis called the meeting to order at 5:31 p.m. Roll was called; a quorum was present. Commissioner Jones was absent.

CITIZEN COMMENTS ON NON-AGENDIZED ITEMS (5:32:03) - Jon Nowlin requested, as a future agenda item, a status report regarding the Fairgrounds and Fuji Park. He expressed the understanding that equestrian events at the Fairgrounds have been canceled for the remainder of the year, and that the urban fishing pond project may not go forward as planned. He requested that these items be agendized at an appropriate time to accommodate interested citizens' work schedules. Mr. Moellendorf advised the recent bid opening for the urban fishing pond came in "way over budget." Options, including the possibility of additional funding for the project, are being discussed with Nevada Department of Wildlife representatives. The Fairgrounds project is on hold at the present time due to issues associated with closing escrow on the Bodine's property. Mr. Moellendorf advised that a more detailed status report could be agendized for a future meeting. In response to a question, he advised that events at the Fairgrounds have been canceled until such time as staff can ascertain whether construction will take place this summer.

- 1. ACTION ON APPROVAL OF MINUTES (5:34:28) None.
- **2. MODIFICATIONS TO THE AGENDA** (5:34:29) None.

3. AGENDA ITEMS:

3-A. ACTION TO RECOMMEND TO THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS THE BUILDING AND SITE DESIGN CONCEPTS FOR THE PROPOSED INDOOR RECREATION, HEALTH, WELLNESS, AND EDUCATION CENTER BUILDING TO BE LOCATED ON THE WESTERN NEVADA COMMUNITY COLLEGE CAMPUS AT THE TERMINUS OF WEST COLLEGE PARKWAY (5:34:36) - Chairperson Curtis introduced this item. Mr. Moellendorf introduced Brent Tippets, of Valentiner Crane Architects, and provided an overview of the presentation format. Mr. Moellendorf reviewed the staff report, and narrated a PowerPoint presentation.

(5:47:15) As part of the PowerPoint presentation, Mr. Tippets provided an overview of components to be included in the design, including programming offices, specific to both WNCC and the City, coaches spaces, and joint use spaces. He advised that 66,000 to 67,000 square feet would be needed to accommodate these components. He further advised of consideration given to future components, including a second gymnasium, racquetball courts, an outdoor splash pad, and a game room. He pointed out, on a displayed slide, the proposed site for development of the structure. He discussed necessary additional parking, and pointed out the location for possible expansion at the eastern edge of the site. He described the topography of the site which will benefit the design in that multi-level access will be available throughout the building from the ground. He reviewed and described the proposed floor plans, including the main entrance and lobby, administrative offices, multi-purpose classrooms, and WNCC staff offices; the mechanism of the fee control point; the lower level, including a fitness area, a climbing wall, an exercise studio, locker rooms, a multi-purpose room, and storage rooms; the recreation pool, including a play feature, a current channel, a slide feature, and other interactive amenities; the third level, including the running track, and a small cardiovascular area. Mr. Tippets described the building design as "very dynamic," and emphasized its conceptual nature. He further described the massing of the building, its effect on the campus setting and the surrounding neighborhood. Mr. Moellendorf advised the PowerPoint presentation is available on both the City's and WNCC's websites.

Mr. Tippets responded to questions regarding the proposed building design and amenities. In response to a question, WNCC Vice President of Institutional Advancement Helaine Jesse advised that the WNCC Center for the Arts project has been put on hold. She provided background information on the project scope and associated costs, and advised that the Center for the Arts will eventually be relocated to another building site on the WNCC campus. Chairperson Curtis provided an overview of related agenda items, and opened this item to public comment.

(6:08:46) John Wagner inquired as to the need for the facility. He expressed the opinion that the proposed location is the "second worst ... just for people to get to it;" the worst being at Fuji Park. He expressed a preference for the facility to be constructed more toward the center of town. He expressed concern over partnering with WNCC "who could change their mind possibly." He expressed further concern over legislative support.

Chairperson Curtis advised that discussions regarding the subject facility have been ongoing for approximately eighteen months. She referred to the parks and recreation master plan element and Question #18, and advised that additional indoor recreation space is needed in the community. She further advised of the immense popularity of similar recreation facilities around the country. She suggested "you could do a lot worse than Fuji Park" and noted the unavailability of property in the center of town.

CARSON CITY PARKS AND RECREATION COMMISSION

Minutes of the February 6, 2007 Meeting Page 3

In response to a question, Mr. Moellendorf provided background information on discussions associated with this project over the past "almost two years." He also referred to Question #18 and advised that a multi-purpose gymnasium was included as part of the ballot question. He advised of having spent nearly two years developing the parks and recreation master plan element during the course of which an infinite number of public meetings were held, including with focus groups. During these meetings, the need to address the lack of indoor recreational space was made clear as a priority of the community. Mr. Moellendorf advised that the gymnasium portion of the Community Center was constructed in 1969. The community has "vastly outgrown" the facility since that time. Mr. Moellendorf discussed the communitywide survey conducted as part of the parks and recreation master plan process which indicated the lack of indoor recreational space as the "number one displeasure." He further discussed the benefit of collaborating and cooperating with WNCC. He advised that once the facility is constructed, the lack of indoor recreation facilities for a community this size will likely still exist. With regard to concerns over WNCC's use of the facility, Commissioner McKenna discussed the mechanism of the joint use agreement between WNCC and the School District for the high tech center at Carson High School. He advised of the intent to maximize funding to construct a better facility than the City could afford to build on its own. Mr. Wagner reiterated the preference to construct the facility in a more central location, and discussed related concerns. Mr. Moellendorf reviewed the evaluation process by which the subject location was determined and the partnership with WNCC entered into. He discussed access to the facility, and advised that staff is exploring the possibility of discounted bus tickets. He advised of the intent to make the facility as affordable and accessible to the general public as possible. Commissioner Livermore discussed some of the reasons other locations were ruled out.

Commissioner Keeton expressed concerns over handicapped access to the facility, the cost of constructing the track outside the building, and costs associated with heating and air conditioning because of "all that glass." He expressed additional concern over "how far we've come from where we started. ... This has become almost a Taj Mahal. What we needed was indoor recreation and we now have this enormous recreation center and aerobics center." He expressed support for the design. Mr. Tippets advised that design of the facility has been kept very simple "because there are a lot of unanswered questions." There are additional contour maps needed, and a master planning aspect to coordinate. Mr. Tippets reemphasized the conceptual nature of the design. He assured the commissioners that the facility will meet all ADA accessibility requirements, and advised that the design concept is not yet finalized. acknowledged that the track penetrating outside the building will be more costly. He advised of a desire to break up the mass of the building, particularly along College Parkway, to avoid the appearance of a 35foot high, flat wall. He acknowledged that a mural would be a solution. With regard to concerns over the glass, he advised that sustainability and "green buildings" require daylight into every space. Careful consideration can be given to placement, type, and amount of glass. Mr. Tippets advised that LEAD, the national organization for measuring sustainability, highly emphasizes natural daylight. He agreed with avoiding uninsulated glass installed on the wrong sides of the building. Commissioner Keeton acknowledged that most of the glass is proposed for the north side of the building. Mr. Moellendorf advised that a LEAD certification will be required as part of the process. He emphasized the conceptual nature of the building design, and expressed hesitation over value engineering the building at this point. He requested input of the commissioners regarding the proposed components, the relationship of the facility to other buildings and to the building site. Chairperson Curtis commented on the importance of attractive design. She noted the leisure pool is not a necessity, but expressed the opinion it will help pay operation costs. She expressed the hope the community will use the facility for such things as weddings and banquets.

(6:30:34) Jed Block expressed support for the design presented, and discussed memories of various public facilities in Carson City since his move to the community. He expressed appreciation to everyone involved in the project.

(6:34:45) Nadine Garrard, a WNCC student, expressed support for the facility at the WNCC location.

(6:36:06) LeAnn Saarem expressed support for additional indoor recreation facilities in the community. She expressed concern over access to the facility, and advised of multiple developments in the same area. She requested that access issues be further considered in light of these multiple developments before access is determined to be adequate. She expressed additional concerns over the facility competing with private facilities, and that an adequate recreational pool for children be part of the design. She requested that the focus shift toward "the real need of our youth activities with the gymnasium space and pool space rather than a lot of the other facilities."

In response to a question, Mr. Moellendorf advised that a traffic study will be required within the next couple months. He advised that an in-house traffic study was conducted for the previous site located on Combs Canyon Road. It was the opinion of the traffic engineers that Combs Canyon Road would accommodate the additional traffic generated by the facility.

(6:40:02) Jon Nowlin recalled having first spoken to the commission approximately three years ago in consideration of what would be included in such a facility. He recalled having visited a multiple-use recreation facility in Colorado Springs at the time, and described the various amenities. He advised that the PowerPoint presentation represented the Colorado Springs facility and "what our vision was three years ago. Everything that we thought about at that point in time is in this plan." He expressed support for the design and the facility, and the opinion it is needed in the community and will be used. He recalled buildout estimates in Carson City at the time he moved here in 1975, and predicted that actual build-out will eventually reach over 80,000. He expressed the opinion that what may seem like a "Taj Mahal today isn't going to be by the time this is built and certainly not going to be by the time we're five years into this facility." He suspects the need for another such facility will have to be considered five years after construction. He expressed concern over access, and a preference that the facility be located in the center of town. He acknowledged the consideration given to alternative locations, however, and that "none of them worked." He expressed the opinion that the proposed location "works best particularly with the partnership ... in terms of the community college." He expressed concern over the details of the multiple use agreement and being able to guarantee, to both the community at large and to WNCC, minimization of conflict.

In response to a question, Mr. Moellendorf advised that swimming lessons for very young children may be offered at the leisure pool. He discussed the family-oriented vision of the facility and advised this is a key aspect of successful recreation centers. Considering the problems in the community, he commented on the importance of strengthening families and thereby strengthening the community. Chairperson Curtis noted the "health, wellness, and education center" title of the facility and the many aspects which had not yet been discussed. She expressed the hope to coordinate programs with Carson-Tahoe Regional Medical Center, and discussed promotion of the facility in terms of a healthier society. Commissioner Livermore discussed the June 2004 Denver, Colorado recreation center tour in which several commissioners and Parks and Recreation Department staff participated. He noted the emphasis placed on a recreation facility in Question #18, and expressed the opinion "there's nothing wrong with providing our residents with a high

quality recreation center that's going to provide a huge amount of opportunities for our community and overall." He discussed the Youth Sports Association's use of City and School District facilities for its programming, and noted the need for additional space. He expressed the opinion that the recreation facility will save the community, in the long-term, from spending money on juvenile detention facilities and health costs.

Mr. Tippets discussed his participation in developing "dozens of these facilities" and noted "the difference that they make in people's lives." He discussed opportunities available to participate in sports activities as a young person. He advised that his children don't have the same opportunities "because technology has changed, the social norm has changed." "Computer screens, video games … have changed the social dynamics of the world, … and we actually need to provide more opportunities for the youth as well as all of us because the social norm of healthy lifestyle is changing." Mr. Tippets discussed the importance of providing opportunities for healthy lifestyle in the face of mortality issues, childhood obesity, and other health risks. He agreed with a previous comment that once the facility is operational, it will still not be sufficient to accommodate the community's needs. He expressed the hope the community will grasp the vision represented by the facility to improve its quality of life. Chairperson Curtis expressed appreciation for Mr. Tippets' dedication to the project.

Chairperson Curtis called for additional public comment. (6:50:17) Nicole Block recalled the multipurpose operations of YMCA and YWCA facilities, and did not "see that the YMCAs ever put that much of a hamper on the businesses that were in that community as well." She expressed the opinion the subject facility will not compete with private businesses.

Commissioner Keeton discussed the escalating costs and square footage of the facility since discussions began several years ago. He requested assurances that the subject facility will not require allocating funding from any other existing parks or recreation programs or projects. Mr. Moellendorf reviewed that portion of the staff report pertinent to funding. Commissioner Keeton expressed concern over the possible situation that "there's nothing we can do to stop except we've got to pay for it." He expressed opposition to having to devote "every dime" from Question #18 and possible bond funding to the subject project. He noted the substantial management costs associated with the facility, in spite of WNCC's offer to share in paying those costs. He referred to previous discussions that locating the facility at Mills Park would provide for it to be operated primarily by existing staff. He expressed concern over operating costs in light of the partnership with WNCC, and that the project doesn't become the "overriding money issue for this commission and the Parks and Recreation Department."

Chairperson Curtis entertained a motion. Commissioner McKenna moved to recommend to the Board of Supervisors the building and site design concepts for the proposed indoor recreation, health, wellness, and education center building to be located on the Western Nevada Community College campus, at the terminus of West College Parkway. The motion was seconded and carried 6-1-1, Commissioner Keeton abstaining. Chairperson Curtis thanked Mr. Tippets for his presentation.

3-B. DISCUSSION ONLY REGARDING A DRAFT PRO FORMA (BUSINESS PLAN) RELATING TO THE PROJECTED REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, STAFFING, AND FEES AND CHARGES SCHEDULE FOR THE PROPOSED INDOOR RECREATION, HEALTH, WELLNESS, AND EDUCATION CENTER TO BE LOCATED ON THE WESTERN NEVADA COMMUNITY COLLEGE CAMPUS AT THE TERMINUS OF WEST COLLEGE PARKWAY (6:58:59) - Chairperson Curtis introduced this item. Mr. Moellendorf reviewed the staff report, and clarified that the annual paid admissions is estimated at 223,200. In response to a question, he advised that the first pro forma projected an approximate \$260,000 shortfall in cost recovery without state funding. Since that time, costs for a portion of a building maintenance technician have been assigned to the facility. Mr. Moellendorf noted one of the single largest areas of expense for a facility of this nature is personnel; the second largest would be utilities. In response to a question, Ms. Singer advised that each time the operating agreement is revised, the pro forma is required to be revised. She acknowledged there will be opportunities for students and interns. Mr. Moellendorf reviewed changes to the pro forma based on revisions to the facility's square footage. In response to a question, Ms. Singer advised that the mechanism of facility passes for both the subject facility and the Community Center / Aquatic Facility is in the process of being discussed.

Commissioner McKenna requested staff to consider a sharing agreement with private facilities which offer certain amenities not offered by the recreation facility and vice versa. Ms. Singer advised of a meeting held last Friday to which private facility owners / operators were invited. She further advised of a "very good dialogue" and that the private facility representatives expressed a willingness to work together. Mr. Moellendorf noted the importance of working together to accomplish the goal of community fitness while limiting, as much as possible, direct competition.

In response to a question, Ms. Singer reviewed daily fees proposed in the current pro forma. In response to a further question, she advised that the fees would cover admission into the facility. There may be additional charges for specific classes. Chairperson Curtis called for public comment and, when none was forthcoming, requested staff to provide status reports as appropriate. Mr. Moellendorf advised that the pro forma will include fees and rate schedules.

3-C. DISCUSSION ONLY REGARDING A DRAFT "INTERLOCAL AGREEMENT FOR THE CONSTRUCTION AND OPERATION OF A MULTIPURPOSE INDOOR RECREATION, HEALTH, WELLNESS, AND EDUCATION FACILITY," TO BE LOCATED ON THE WESTERN NEVADA COMMUNITY COLLEGE CAMPUS AT THE TERMINUS OF WEST COLLEGE PARKWAY (7:10:57) - Chairperson Curtis introduced this item. Mr. Moellendorf reviewed the staff report. In response to a question, Ms. Madden explained the lease hold interest provisions of the agreement. Commissioner McKenna suggested adding language to the agreement to indicate the value of the land being contributed to the project. He further suggested considering inclusion of some type of language to address termination of the agreement. He responded to questions of clarification, and Mr. Moellendorf read a portion of Section III(B), Term of the Agreement, into the record and explained its provisions. Commissioner McKenna suggested considering that fifty years for a public building may be only half its useful life. Mr. Moellendorf advised that the appraised value of the land is considered to be part of WNCC's contribution, but not part of WNCC's \$10 million cash obligation. Commissioner McKenna requested the parties to consider his suggestion regarding language provisions pertinent to terminating the agreement.

CARSON CITY PARKS AND RECREATION COMMISSION

Minutes of the February 6, 2007 Meeting Page 7

In response to a question, Mr. Moellendorf advised that anything not permanently affixed to the building will be owned by the City. The climbing wall is considered a non-permanent fixture. Commissioner Keeton suggested there will be a lot more equipment in the subject facility than has ever been included in any other recreational facility. He assumed that fees will cover equipment replacement. In response to a question, Ms. Jesse advised that the agreement includes provisions for any revenue in excess of operational costs to be allocated to a capital improvement and equipment fund. She referred to Section III(G), Carson City's Leasehold Interest, and advised that this provision is well-regulated and very common. She further advised that extending beyond a 99-year lease will have to be researched. Mr. Moellendorf advised of an equipment replacement budget line item in the amount of \$20,000 per year. He anticipates the amount will increase over time as equipment ages and the need to replace it becomes more apparent.

Chairperson Curtis discussed her understanding of the original purpose for the joint use committee. Mr. Moellendorf acknowledged that the joint use committee and its variations have evolved over time. He discussed direction to ensure management of the facility "without a lot of bureaucratic encumbrances." Doing so will allow facility management to respond more quickly to problems. Public complaints will be first addressed to facility management. The next step would be Parks and Recreation Department administration or this commission, and ultimately the Board of Supervisors. Mr. Moellendorf suggested the process will provide "plenty of steps along the way" for the public to voice complaints. Hopefully, the issues will be solved at the most elemental, facility management level. Mr. Moellendorf advised that the agreement provides for disputes between the two parties to be addressed by the college president and the Parks and Recreation Department Director. Unsettled disputes would be submitted to a mediation process. The intent is to keep things as streamlined and unencumbered as possible.

Ms. Madden advised of a consensus between the parties to model facility management after the high tech center at the high school. Ms. Jesse agreed, and described the high tech center committee to which issues are addressed. She advised of a mechanism within the college to address complaints pertinent to college classes. Commissioner Keeton advised of feedback that the tech center method of management works very well.

Chairperson Curtis called for public comment; however, none was forthcoming. In response to a question, Mr. Moellendorf anticipates agendizing this item for action at a future commission meeting after which time the agreement will be presented to the Board of Supervisors. He acknowledged there is no reason to enact the agreement until project funding is secured. Ms. Jesse commented on the visionary opportunity represented by the joint agreement and the facility which will benefit the City and adjacent communities. She discussed the effort invested in developing the joint use agreement, and expressed appreciation for the opportunity to have been involved. She expressed the opinion that the project has 50/50 odds at making it through the legislative session. She suggested joining together as a community to get the project passed at the legislature. She thanked the commission and reiterated her appreciation at having been involved in the process. Chairperson Curtis thanked Ms. Jesse.

3-D. DISCUSSION ONLY REGARDING THE PARKS AND RECREATION COMMISSION'S BACK-UP POSITION ON BUILDING A MULTI-PURPOSE INDOOR RECREATION CENTER AT JOHND WINTERS CENTENNIAL PARK (7:35:21) - Chairperson Curtis introduced this item and reviewed the agenda report. Mr. Moellendorf advised of having discussed this topic with City Manager Linda Ritter, and that direction from the Board of Supervisors is to continue with the WNCC partnership to the end of the legislative session. If the project is not funded, it will most

likely be re-evaluated on several different levels. Commissioner Livermore discussed the City's financial status. Vice Chairperson Felesina discussed the purpose for requesting this item to be agendized, and the possibility of reconsidering the Mills Park site if the legislature does not fund the project. Commissioner Keeton expressed support for re-evaluating the project if funding is not allocated. Chairperson Curtis called for public comment; however, none was forthcoming. She recessed the meeting at 7:50 p.m. and reconvened at 7:56 p.m.

3-E. DISCUSSION WITH POSSIBLE ACTION REGARDING THE FEASIBILITY OF CARSON CITY ACQUIRING OWNERSHIP OF TREADWAY PARK, LOCATED NEAR THE CORNER OF MINNESOTA STREET AND FLEISCHMANN WAY, FROM THE CARSON-TAHOE REGIONAL HEALTHCARE CENTER (7:56:55) - Chairperson Curtis introduced this item. Commissioner Livermore reviewed historic information regarding Treadway Park, and the agenda report.

(8:03:52) Historic Resources Commission Chairman Michael Drews distributed plat maps and aerial photographs to the commissioners and staff, and reviewed additional historic information on Treadway's Park. He read into the record an excerpt from *Thompson and West's History of Nevada*, copies of which were distributed to the commissioners and staff. He discussed the opportunity to preserve "probably the first real park in Carson," and requested the commissioners' consideration.

Commissioner Livermore reviewed the estimated costs, provided by Mr. Fahrenbruch, to improve the park to City standards. In response to a question, Commissioner Livermore advised that hospital representatives have indicated no desire to donate the park to the City. Mr. Moellendorf commented on the attractiveness and historic significance of the park, and advised it would be a nice addition to the park system. He noted the park is located in an area which is served very well by neighborhood parks. He advised of fiscal impacts associated with acquiring the park, improving it to City park system standards, and ongoing maintenance. He reminded the commissioners of the City's existing financial situation, and advised that Parks staff has already been reduced.

Vice Chairperson Felesina discussed daily use of Treadway Park by the community. In response to a question, Mr. Fahrenbruch advised that usage of Treadway Park isn't officially known. He advised there is usually someone at the park each time he's driven by. The location of the park lends itself to high usage. Mr. Fahrenbruch advised that Parks and Recreation Department staff would love to acquire Treadway Park. He noted associated costs and the need for a commitment to fund capital improvements as well as ongoing maintenance. He referred to photographs included in the agenda materials, and noted sidewalks which need replacing. He advised that the "big ticket item" is the antiquated irrigation system which will cost approximately \$40,000 to replace and will need to be done within five years. He reviewed that portion of the agenda report pertinent to improvement and maintenance costs. He doesn't foresee the need to add staff to maintain Treadway Park, and anticipates the park could be maintained for approximately the same cost as the hospital expended on an annual basis. He advised that the critical issue is to improve the park to City standards. In response to a question, he advised that immediate capital improvements and repairs would have to be submitted to the City's budget process. He emphasized the importance of committing to the immediate capital improvements, as well as future irrigation improvements and ongoing maintenance.

In response to a question, Commissioner Livermore advised the hospital is attempting to market the entire facility as a long-term, acute care facility. A behavioral health and rehabilitation unit would be included. Once the transaction is accomplished, it would be a for-profit business. Commissioner Livermore advised that Assessor Dave Dawley estimated the property tax revenue at \$275,000 per year. He suggested allowing staff to begin discussions regarding reacquisition of the property.

Mr. Moellendorf reiterated the park would be an attractive addition to the City mainly because of its historic nature. He suggested that the \$705 per month the hospital was paying for maintenance is likely related to the park's present condition. He advised that a supplemental budget request would likely be required, and that the City Manager has advised approval of supplementals in next year's budget is "slim to none." He further advised that maintenance of ongoing capital projects will be difficult, including playground equipment replacement funding, park facility replacement funding, and ongoing facility maintenance. Acquisition of the property with "some kind of funding that would go along with it ... would be a neat thing."

Chairperson Curtis called for public comment; however, none was forthcoming. She expressed the hope there may be options in terms of the park's marketability. Commissioner Livermore commented on the importance of considering the opportunity, and offered to explore funding possibilities to support the improvements and ongoing maintenance of the park. Mr. Fahrenbruch advised that staff is supportive of initiating discussions with hospital representatives. He reiterated the biggest concern over capital improvements, and advised that funding the capital costs will offset the maintenance costs. Mr. Drews suggested the open space use assessment which applies to historic properties could be invoked. Commissioner Livermore advised he had been unaware the park had been acquired by the hospital. Commissioner McKenna expressed support for reacquiring the park and addressing its improvement and maintenance. Vice Chairperson Felesina expressed concern over losing the park to development. Commissioner Livermore discussed Carson City's historic value. Mr. Drews compared the original 1866 plat map and the aerial photograph, commented on the amount of development depicted between the two. and discussed the importance of preserving the community's historic resources. Commissioner Wontorski suggested the neighbors of the park and the community may be interested in donating time and money toward preserving the park. Chairperson Curtis thanked Commissioner Livermore and Messrs. Fahrenbruch and Drews for their presentation.

4. NON-ACTION ITEMS:

STATUS REPORTS AND ANNOUNCEMENTS FROM STAFF (8:26:13) - Mr. Moellendorf advised of the Democratic Party presidential debates to be held at the Community Center Theater on Wednesday, February 21st. In response to a question, he advised that a rental fee will be charged for use of the facility.

COMMISSIONERS' ANNOUNCEMENTS AND REQUESTS FOR INFORMATION (8:28:06) - Chairperson Curtis noted the new procedure for the commissioners to obtain their agenda packets. She suggested that the agenda packets be made available at a City office which is open over the weekend in the event commissioners aren't able to pick up packets from the Parks Administration office. In response to a question, Chairperson Curtis advised that Commissioner Jones had e-mailed to advise of the birth of her baby. The commissioners congratulated Commissioner Jones.

Page 10

- **5. FUTURE AGENDA ITEMS** (8:29:57) Chairperson Curtis requested Mr. Moellendorf to agendize an item for update and discussion of Question #1 projects at the February 20th meeting, and a status report on the Fairgrounds. She discussed an interest in working with the community to develop dog parks, and requested Mr. Moellendorf to add this to the list of future agenda items. Mr. Moellendorf advised of an article regarding dog parks in the most recent NRPA magazine.
- **6. ACTION ON ADJOURNMENT** (8:32:11) Commissioner McKenna moved to adjourn the meeting at 8:32 p.m. Commissioner Livermore seconded the motion. Motion carried 8-0.

The Minutes of the February 6, 2007 Carson City Parks and Recreation Commission meeting are so approved this 20th day of March, 2007.

DONNA J. CURTIS, Chair